STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA I COUNTY OF MECKLENBURG	N THE GENEREAL COURT OF JUSTICE [] SUPERIOR COURT DIVISION
	23-CVS-7397. 2023 MAY -2 □ 2: 58
Terry Creech	MECKLENBURG CO. C.S.C
Plaintiff,	SY
Vs.	COMPLAINT (Jury Trial Demanded)
Charlotte Area Transit System City of Charlotte.	,
Defendants.	

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff Terry Creech, by and through counsel, brings this action against Charlotte Area Transit System (CATS) and City of Charlotte (collectively, "Defendants"), and alleges as follows:

PARTIES

- 1. Plaintiff Terry Creech is an individual and resident of Mecklenburg County, North Carolina.
- 2. Defendant Charlotte Area Transit System (CATS) is a public transportation system operated by the City of Charlotte, North Carolina.
- 3. Defendant City of Charlotte is a municipal corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of North Carolina.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 4. This Court has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to North Carolina General Statutes § 1-75.4.
- 5. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to North Carolina General Statutes § 1-82 and § 7A-311(a)(1) because the events giving rise to Plaintiff's claims occurred in Mecklenburg County, North Carolina.

Facts:

- 1. Mr. Creech was employed with the city of charlotte in 2009.
- 2. In 2019 Mr. Creech joined the Charlotte Area Transit System as a Rail Controller
- 3. That CATS has been entrusted by the public to operate safe and competent transit lines.
- 4. CATS is subject to the laws of North Carolina, CATS Administrative Rules and Rail Controller Rulebook

- 5. The Rail Operations Control Center (ROCC) is a division within CATS organization.
- 6. Mr. Creech was employed to work in ROCC
- 7. ROCC has enumerated public policy for safe accountability to the public in the Rail Controller Expectations
- 8. That at all relevant times CATS was actively concealing public information relating to budget, equipment, and personnel
- 9. The job of rail controller is a high stress job and because of its dynamic nature require stress management efforts by employers
- CATS failed to manage stressful working conditions and contributed to a hostile work environment
- 11. CATS further exacerbated its already hostile work environment by allowing a verbally abusive General Manager to harass employees
- 12. Mr. Creech attempted to raise concern about his stressful work environment and his abusive General Manager
- 13. Mr. Creech performed numerous work shifts as the SOLE controller in the ROCC
- 14. As a sole controller, Mr. Creech was tasked with monitoring two city rail lines, both security feeds, and multiple storage yards
- 15. As SOLE controller, Mr. Creech would often be unable to access his supervisors or anyone else for assistance.
- 16. The general public has a safety interest in the responsible execution of ROCC operations as rail lines through urban areas have a high probability of extensive damage.
- 17. As a matter of public policy, CATS rules expressly prohibit" falsifying any report, log, or record"
- 18. Public safety is directly linked to transparent and factual reporting by all CATS employees
- 19. The workplaces environment where Mr. Creech was employed was hostile and recklessly unsafe to the general public.
- 20. Mr. Creech was terminated unlawfully.
- 21. In May of 2022 General Manager and other conspirators carried out a fraudulent termination of Mr. Creech
- 22. All derailments are to be reported as a matter of public safety
- 23. In May of 2022, Mr. Creech reported a train derailment and followed protocol to redirect traffic and close the derailed line for inspection:
- 24. Mr. Creech was in fact working as the sole controller that night
- 25. Mr. Creech attempted to contact his supervisors but was unsuccessful for over an hour after the derailment occurred
- 26. General Manager and other conspirators, concealed evidence of the derailment
- 27. CATS was at this time actively concealing public information
- 28. In concealing this cover-up, general manager and other conspirators, referenced the derailment in question while terminating Mr. Creech but concealed further information from official records.
- 29. Mr. Creech was following public policy by reporting the derailment in relation to a legitimate public safety interest.
- 30. In direct violation of public policy, and CATS rules general manager and other conspirators falsified information.

- 31. In furtherance of this falsification of public records, general manager and other conspirators fraudulently accused Mr. Creech of Two safety violations in dealing with the derailment, while mischaracterizing to the general public that the in fact derailment was merely a "system error"
- 32. Company alleged that Mr. Creech committed a "safety error" because an operator did not wait for his proper clearance.
- 33. Company alleged that he errored in making a decision to divert traffic from the concealed derailment in question.
- 34. CATS CEO later admitted that no safety violations occurred
- 35. The "reasons" for Mr. Creech's termination were fraudulent to Mr. Creech and to the public
- 36. While concealing the facts of the derailment, CATS terminated Mr. Creech in a hostile manner and discredited him from any future employment with the city.
- 37. Mr. Creech was wrongfully discharged while carrying out a duty essential for public safety
- 38. Mr. Creech's employers were retaliating to the fact that Mr. Creech had properly reported notice of derailment per his obligations to CATS and the public
- 39. In retaliation, Mr. Creech was unlawfully terminated.
- 40. Employer egregiously violated a plethora of public policies

Age and Race Protection:

41. Mr. Creech is protected against discrimination on the basis of his age and race

FMLA

- 42. Prior to the events of May 2022 Mr. Creech had exercised his right to care for his parents under FMI A
- 43. Mr. Creech was told he was no longer eligible for leave under FMLA because one of his parents had died.
- 44. The events of Mr. Creech's termination occurred in very close proximity to his return to work.

Claim for relief:

Wrongful Termination in violation of Public Policy

[Plaintiff incorporates by reference all facts alleged above]

Hostile Work environment

[Plaintiff incorporates by reference all facts alleged above]

- 45. Mr. Creech was harassed constantly and belittled while working.
- 46. Mr. Creech notified his superiors multiple times of this behavior

Intentional Interference with a contract

[Plaintiff incorporates by reference all facts alleged above]

PUNITIVE DAMAGES

[Plaintiff incorporates by reference all facts alleged above]

Defendant's conduct, as alleged hereinabove, and to the extent the evidence may show, was committed deliberately, intentionally, purposefully, maliciously, and otherwise in a willful and wanton fashion, in violation of N.C. Gen. Stat §1D-1 et seq., and as such, Plaintiffs are entitled to punitive damages in such an amount as is deemed sufficient to punish and deter the Defendant and others from engaging in such further conduct.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff, P, prays the Court for the following relief:

- 1. That the Plaintiff, P, have and recover judgment against the Defendant, D, for compensatory damages in an amount *over* Ten Thousand Dollars (\$10,000).
- 2. That Plaintiff be awarded any increased or treble damages or exemplary or punitive damages allowed by law;
- 3. That the cost of this action be taxed against the Defendant, D, including prejudgment interest pursuant to the North Carolina General Statutes Section 6 21;
- 4. That the Plaintiff be granted reasonable attorney's fees pursuant to North Carolina General Statutes Section 6 21;
- 5. That all issues triable by jury be so tried; and
- 6. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

Jonathan Metcalf, Esq. Bar #: 45482 5309 Monroe Road. Ste. 112 Charlotte, NC 28205

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that the Complaint attached hereto were served on Defendants herein by		
mailing copies thereof to their	in an envelope addressed to him at the address indicated	
below with proper postage attached and	d deposited in an official depository maintained for that purpose	
under the exclusive care and custody of	the United States Postal Service.	

Name:

Charlotte Area Transit System

City of Charlotte

Address:

600 East Fourth St.

Zip:

Charlotte, NC 28202

This $\frac{4}{2023}$ day of $\frac{2023}{2023}$

STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA	File No. 25 (197397)
Mecklenburg County	In The General Court Of Justice ☐ District ☐ Superior Court Division
Name Of Plaintiff Address Light Company Comp	CIVIL SUMMONS □ ALIAS AND PLURIES SUMMONS (ASSESS FEE)
Charlage NC 28 20	
Name Of Defendant(s) A regitable System	G.S. 1A-1, Rules 3 and 4 Date Original Summons Issued MGY A A A A A A A A A A A A A
Name Of Defendant(s) A real Transit System Charlotte Area Transit System City of Charlotte	Date(s) Subsequent Summons(es) Issued
To Each Of The Defendant(s) Named Below:	
Name And Address Of Defendant 1 (horlotte Aren Trongit System (all E 4th St. (harlotte NC 26202	Name And Address Of Defendant? (1) (they lotte) (by (they lotte) (by lotte) (by lotte) (by lotte)
¡NO TIRE estos papeles! Tiene que contestar a más tardar en 30 días. ¡ acerca de su caso y, de ser necesario, hablar documentos! A Civil Action Has Been Commenced Against You! You are notified to appear and answer the complaint of the plaintiff a	aintiff or plaintiff's attorney within thirty (30) days after you have been plaintiff or by mailing it to the plaintiff's last known address, and
If you fail to answer the complaint, the plaintiff will apply to the Court	for the relief demanded in the complaint.
Name And Address Of Plaintiff's Attorney (if none, Address Of Plaintiff) Superthern Metgalt Stella (haplotte NC 28205)	Date Isseed Compared to the Isseed
☐ ENDORSEMENT (ASSESS FEE)	Date Of Endorsement Time AM PM
This Summons was originally issued on the date indicated above and returned not served. At the request of the plaintiff, the time within which this Summons must be served is	Signature
extended sixty (60) days.	Deputy CSC Assistant CSC Clerk Of Superior Court
NOTE TO PARTIES: Many counties have MANDATORY ARBITRATION pless are heard by an arbitrator before a trial. The particles so, what procedure is to be followed.	programs in which most cases where the amount in controversy is \$25,000 or ties will be notified if this case is assigned for mandatory arbitration, and, if

AOC-CV-100, Rev. 4/18 © 2018 Administrative Office of the Courts

Side One Copy - Side Two Not Reproduced

If Law Firm Monroe Road Ste. 112 the NC 2820S





9 US POSTAGE \$009.009

717 ST-1278 SS - 1771 710 700 70

05/04/2023

Charlotte Area Transit Systems City of Charlotte GOO East Fourth St. Charlotte, NC 28202

702

USPS Tracking[®]

Tracking Number: Remove X

70222410000036103940

Copy Add to Informed Delivery (https://informeddelivery.usps.com/)

Latest Update

Your item was delivered to an individual at the address at 7:27 am on May 10, 2023 in CHARLOTTE, NC 28202.

Get More Out of USPS Tracking:

USPS Tracking Plus®

Delivered

Delivered, Left with Individual

CHARLOTTE, NC 28202 May 10, 2023, 7:27 am

See All Tracking History

Text & Email Updates	~
USPS Tracking Plus®	~
Product Information	~
See Less ^	

Track Another Package

Enter tracking or barcode numbers

Need More Help?

Contact USPS Tracking support for further assistance.

FAQs